

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/864,242	05/25/2001	Douglas Weigel	10003469-1	2761	
7590 09/08/2004			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			GREENE, DANIEL L		
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400		3621		

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/864,242	WEIGEL, DOUGLAS	-0 0		
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Daniel L. Greene	3621			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss		
THE MAILING DATE OF T - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma - If the period for reply specified abov - If NO period for reply is specified at Failure to reply within the set or extension	HIS COMMUNICATION. e under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 illing date of this communication. re is less than thirty (30) days, a reply oove, the maximum statutory period w ended period for reply will, by statute, er than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commit D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on 09 Ju	ne 2004				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL		action is non-final.				
3) Since this application	,—					
Disposition of Claims						
4)	m(s) is/are withdrave allowed. 12-20 is/are rejected. objected to.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is of	piected to by the Examiner	r.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not requ	est that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_ `	• • •	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj aminer. Note the attached Office	•	` '		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119)					
12) Acknowledgment is m a) All b) Some * c 1. Certified copie 2. Certified copie 3. Copies of the c application from	nade of a claim for foreign c) None of: s of the priority documents s of the priority documents certified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Sta	ge		
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTC		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		2)		

Application/Control Number: 09/864,242 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7, 13, and 18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

- 1. In reference to the Applicant's argument that Hoffman et al. fails to teach or suggest storing the digital signature after a transaction is completed and in fact teaches away from such a limitation, the Examiner disagrees. The section pointed out by the Applicant, Col. 16, lines 43-50, addresses the clearing of the operational registers after a transaction is terminated. However, in Col. 16, lines 35-42, Hoffman discloses "write-once" memory locations that are irreversible set such that critical software and data keys may only be downloaded once.
- 2. The Applicant further states that Hoffman et al. does not teach the storing a digital signature in a remote control. The Examiner directs the Applicant to Col. 13-14 in reference to the Biometric Input Device. Hoffman describes four (4) hardware modules that have the same combination of hardware and software i.e. standard, wireless, integrated phone/cable television (CATV)/fax, and ATM.
- 3. In reference to the Applicant's discussion about Hoffman not disclosing protection of the digital signature in the case of the remote control being stolen. Hoffman teaches about breech detection and subsequent destruction of the multi-chip modules. Col. 17, lines 15-25.

Application/Control Number: 09/864,242 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

4. Hoffman discloses the use of unique encryption codes and digital signatures. However, Hoffman does not specifically disclose the use of digital signature within a portable device. To provide that persons having ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains, Deo et al., U.S. Patent 5,721,781 teaches about the use of digital signatures in portable devices for authentication functions. Col. 4, lines 20-35.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 7-8, and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoffman et al. U.S. Patent 5,613,012 [Hoffman] and further in view of Deo et al. U.S. Patent 5,721,781 [Deo].

As per claims 1, 7, 13, and 18:

Hoffman discloses the claimed invention except for the storing of a digital signature in a memory of a remote control controller. However, Hoffman does disclose the use of unique encryption codes in the Biometric Input Apparatus (BIA).C14, L1-10. Deo teaches that it is known in the art to store digital signatures in the memory of portable controllers. C4, L 20-35, C3, L 45-52, C 2, L 58-65. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Application/Control Number: 09/864,242

Art Unit: 3621

provide the BIA of Hoffman with the storing of the digital signature in the portable device of Deo, in order to incorporate another type of authentication procedure for protecting and identifying the user.

a remote control controlling at least one function of a television set wherein said at least one function includes at least one of volume control, channel selection and color selection; performing said electronic transaction. C13, L 40-C14, L28; C14, L39-42; L49-53; C15, L25-53; C18, L6-22; C32, L26-43; and

transmitting said digital signature of said user from said remote control to complete said electronic transaction, C14, L54-60

wherein the digital signature remains stored in the memory after the transaction is completed or canceled. C16, L 35-42.

As per claim 2:

Hoffman further discloses:

presenting said electronic transaction on an Internet terminal, wherein said Internet terminal includes said television set; Fig. 1, 3, CATV

receiving said digital signature by said Internet terminal. Fig. 21 and presenting a verification of conclusion of said electronic transaction. Fig. 22.

As per claim 3:

Hoffman further discloses:

wherein said digital signature of said user is transmitted utilizing wireless protocols. C14, L39-42.

As per claims 8, 15, 18, and 20:

wherein said controller is further configured to receive said digital signature from a second device adapted to generate said digital signature in response to a second input from said keypad. C33, L34-C34, L10.

As per claims 12, 14, and 19:

an encryptor module for encrypting the digital signature. C7, L5-8, L39-42, C33, L24-33.

As per claim 16:

Hoffman does not specifically disclose that transmission may be infrared and/or electromagnetic. However, he does teach that all known methods for effecting wireless transmission may be used. C17, L44-45. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have used either or both infrared and E?M transmission, because both are equally reliable in such wireless protocols.

As per claim 17:

Hoffman further discloses:

wherein the first input from said user interface is associated with completing an electronic transaction. C14, L54-60: all above citations.

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Greene whose telephone number is 703-306-5539. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur. 8am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell can be reached on 703-305-9768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/864,242 Page 7

Art Unit: 3621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

9/1/04

DLG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3320